

Can early population structure of *Erysiphe necator* inform about the disease level on bunches?

P. Cartolaro^a, J. Montarry^{ab}, S. Richard-Cervera^a, F. Delmotte^a

^aINRA Bordeaux, UMR 1065 SV, Institut des Sciences de la Vigne et du Vin, BP 81, 33883 Villenave d'Ornon cedex, France (delmotte@bordeaux.inra.fr); ^bINRA Avignon, UR 407, Pathologie Végétale, 84140 Montfavet, France

Grapevine powdery mildew, caused by the biotrophic ascomycete *Erysiphe necator* (syn. *Uncinula necator*), is one example of a plant pathogen showing two genetically differentiated groups of isolates coexisting on the same host, *Vitis vinifera* (Délye *et al.* 1997; Evans *et al.* 1997; Miazzi *et al.* 2003; Nuñez *et al.* 2006). Several studies have suggested that genetic *E. necator* groups (A and B) correlated with ecological features of the pathogen; Délye *et al.* (1997) proposed that group A isolates over-winter as resting mycelium within dormant buds that reinitiate growth after budbreak and colonise young flag-shoots, while group B isolates would survive as ascospores released from overwintering cleistothecia. Indeed, an association between flag-shoot symptoms and infection by group A isolates has been found in earlier studies in France (Délye and Corio-Costet 1998; Amrani and Corio-Costet 2006) and Italy (Miazzi *et al.* 2003). Due to this association, these authors proposed that group A isolates may be responsible for early infections in the season while group B isolates may be responsible for late infections (Délye and Corio-Costet 1998; Miazzi *et al.* 2003). However, the association between genetic groups and over-wintering survival has been challenged by recent studies reporting that flag-shoot symptoms may harbour both group A and B isolates (Cortesi *et al.* 2005; Nuñez *et al.* 2006; Péros *et al.* 2005, Montarry *et al.* 2008). Moreover, the hypothesis of a temporal succession of genetic groups was based on genetic studies that suffered from sampling strategies confounding time during the epidemic with over-wintering mode and source of inoculum. Data available showed that the frequencies of the groups could vary greatly from one field to another, suggesting a high level of spatial heterogeneity at the vineyard scale (Cortesi *et al.* 2005; Amrani and Corio-Costet 2006; Montarry *et al.* 2008).

Here, our aim is to study the regional dynamics of *E. necator* genetic groups at a large spatial scale. We conducted a landscape genetic approach combining landscape epidemiology and population genetics in order to explore the geographic distribution of *E. necator* genetic groups in southern France vineyards, and to assess the temporal succession of groups along the course of the epidemics. Moreover, we have evaluated the relationship between the frequency of genetic groups and disease level on leaves and clusters at the end of the epidemics.

This study therefore addressed three questions: (1) what is the genetic variability (A or B) of *E. necator* populations on flag-shoots at a regional scale? (2) are there changes in the frequency of genetic groups between the start and the end of the epidemic? and, (3) is there a relationship between the frequency of genetic groups assessed early in the season and disease levels at the end of the growing season?

Material and Methods

Diseased leaves of cv. Carignan (*Vitis vinifera*) were randomly sampled twice during the 2007 growing season in commercial vineyards of the Languedoc-Roussillon region. The first sampling was performed in 32 vineyards early in the growing season (end of April) and the second sampling in 16 of those 32 vineyards at the end of the growing season (early September).

At the first sampling, diseased leaves were collected only on flag-shoots; at the second sampling, diseased leaves were randomly collected within each vineyard. This led to a total of 1,253 leaves infected with *E. necator*, of which 769 were sampled in April and 484 in September.

Molecular characterisation. The molecular method used to differentiate genetic groups was the amplification of the β -tubulin gene of *E. necator* (tub2, accession number AY074934) exhibiting a T/C single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) between group A and group B isolates (Amrani and Corio-Costet 2006). SNP creates a recognition site of restriction endonuclease *AccI* that allows the characterisation of A or B isolates by Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence (CAPS) analysis (e.g. Baudoin *et al.* 2008, Montarry *et al.* 2008, Montarry *et al.* 2009).

Disease assessment on leaves and clusters. At the end of the 2007 growing season, prior to the grape harvest (mid-September), the disease levels on leaves and clusters were visually estimated in 13 out of the 32 vineyards sampled at the beginning of the epidemic for genetic analysis. That estimation, based on the observation of five areas (composed at least of 100 vines) randomly distributed in the field, took into account incidence of diseased vines (i.e. an estimation of the percentage of diseased vines) and global symptom severity (i.e. an estimation of the percentage of leaf area infected), using the following category scale: 0 = severity <5% and incidence 0–5%; 1 = severity <5% and incidence 5–20%; 2 = severity <5% and incidence 20–50%; 3 = severity <5% and incidence >50%; 4 = severity 5–30% and incidence >50%; 5 = severity >30% and incidence >50%.

Results

From the 769 lesions sampled at the beginning of the season, 659 (85.7%) yielded a PCR amplicon of the β -tubulin gene; from the 484 lesions sampled at the end of the season, only 205 (42.4%) did so. Among the 659 *E. necator* isolates collected at the beginning of the season from flag shoots, 440 (67%) belonged to group A and 219 (33%) to group B. This confirmed that both group A and group B isolates can over-winter as resting mycelium within dormant buds and lead to flag-shoot symptoms. The frequencies of the genetic groups per field varied greatly, from 100% group A (in ten fields) to 100% group B (in four fields). From the 18 fields showing a mix of A

and the initial composition of the populations raises new questions with both practical and theoretical interests. A hypothesis to explain the association between the initial frequency of A and B groups and damage on clusters at harvest could lie in a difference in aggressiveness on berries between *E. necator* genetic groups. Because the susceptibility period of clusters is restricted to about two weeks after bloom, and assuming a higher aggressiveness of B isolates on berries, the genetic composition of *E. necator* populations during the susceptibility period of clusters could be the major factor driving damage on berries at harvest. Thus, an initial attack by a population mainly composed of group B isolates (aggressive on berries) would cause severe damage at harvest; conversely, if group B isolates increase in frequency only later (i.e., when the ontogenic, or age-related resistance of clusters is active) then the epidemic will cause little or no damage at harvest.

Interestingly, the ontogenic resistance of leaves is less limited in time because of the continuous growth of the vine. This might explain why the association between the frequency of genetic groups and disease levels was slightly stronger on clusters than on leaves. In order to test our hypothesis, further experiments are needed to investigate the aggressiveness of each *E. necator* genetic group on leaves and berries. Moreover, because our observations were based on a limited number of vineyards/populations and did not take into account chemical protection, it will be necessary to follow the epidemic development on leaves and clusters in crops showing different frequencies of genetic *E. necator* groups and with standardised farming methods. A landscape genetic approach will help to determine ecological factors involved in the temporal and spatial genetic variability of *E. necator* populations. The identification of factors favouring one group over another will provide useful information for an integrated crop management with limited fungicide use.

Literature cited

Amrani L, Corio-Costet MF. 2006. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the beta-tubulin gene distinguishing two genotypes of *Erysiphe necator* expressing different symptoms on grapevine.

Plant Pathology 55: 505–512.

- Baudoin A, Olaya G, Delmotte F, Colcol JF, Sierotzki H. 2008. Qol resistance of *Plasmopara viticola* and *Erysiphe necator* in the mid-Atlantic United States. *Plant Health Progress*. doi:10.1094/PHP-2008-0211-02-RS.
- Cortesi P, Mazzoleni A, Pizzatti C, Milgroom MG. 2005. Genetic similarity of flag shoot and ascospore subpopulations of *Erysiphe necator* in Italy. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71: 7788–7791.
- Délye C, Laigret F, Corio-Costet MF. 1997. RAPD analysis provides insight into the biology and epidemiology of *Uncinula necator*. *Phytopathology* 87: 670–677.
- Evans KJ, Whisson DL, Stummer BE, Scott ES. 1997. DNA markers identify variation in Australian populations of *Uncinula necator*. *Mycological Research* 101: 923–932.
- Montarry J, Cartolaro P, Delmotte F, Jolivet J, Willocquet L. 2008. Genetic structure and aggressiveness of *Erysiphe necator* populations during grapevine powdery mildew epidemics. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 74: 6327–6332.
- Montarry J, Cartolaro P, Richard-Cervera S, Delmotte F. 2009. Spatio-temporal distribution of *Erysiphe necator* genetic groups and their relationship with disease levels in vineyards. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 123:61–70.
- Núñez Y, Gallego J, Ponz F, Raposo R. 2006. Analysis of population structure of *Erysiphe necator* using AFLP markers. *Plant Pathology* 55: 650–656.
- Miazzi M, Hajjeh H, Faretra F. 2003. Observations on the population biology of the grape powdery mildew fungus *Uncinula necator*. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 85: 123–129.
- Péros JP, Troulet C, Guerriero M, Michel-Romiti C, Notteghem JL. 2005. Genetic variation and population structure of the grape powdery mildew fungus, *Erysiphe necator*, in southern France. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 113 : 407–416.